

MR & KM Hussey



The Planning Inspectorate  
National Infrastructure Planning  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Bristol  
BS1 6PN

---

PINS Reference EN010137 Mona Offshore Wind Farm  
Interested Party numbers MNOW-S57019 & MNOW-S57018

---

Dear Examiners

In response to your written questions ExQ2 issued on the 19<sup>th</sup> November 2024 we wish to make the following responses:-

**Q2.1.5 DUST**

Following our recent meeting with the applicant (18<sup>th</sup> November 2024) it has come to our attention that there will be construction of subsoil and topsoil bunds in very close proximity to our property as part of cable corridor workings.

The positioning of these bunds to the South East with prevailing winds often from Westerly direction will give rise to potential windblown particulate directly towards our property.

Whilst we recognise the Outline Dust Management Plan [REP2-042] does provide some reassurance, including monitoring and possible remedial action, we would recommend the following changes be adopted for very close proximity properties and businesses so as to help avoid potential for dust creation.

Section 1.6.2.1 States that *'Solid Screens or barriers should be erected around dust activities'*  
We recommend the word 'should' be replaced by the word 'shall'

We also recommend that for transient works like Soil Bunds that they be covered (sheeted over) once constructed so as to prevent potential for windblown particulate and therefore not requiring further specific action should high winds or periods of dry weather occur during their use.

Further clarification would be welcomed regarding Section 1.6.5 Monitoring which references daily on-site and off- site inspections within 100mts of the boundary that includes cars, window sills etc.

- Are these inspections to be conducted as part of mobilisation hours, given that in REP4 - 024 Outline Code Of Construction Practice dated 4/11/24 section 1.8.2.2 it lists site Inspections as being part of activities that would be carried out during mobilisation hours?

For residential receptors within 100mts of the boundary then these types of inspections could be intrusive with potential privacy issues so further detail required as to how this monitoring will be carried out.

### **Q2.16.1 Noise assessment and Monitoring – Councils position**

*Councils Response [REP3-078]*

*The Councils consider that the approaches taken by the Applicant to establishing baseline sound levels were appropriate and the exclusion of data measured during high winds and/or rainfall are consistent with normal practice.*

At our recent meeting (18<sup>th</sup> November 2024), the applicant finally confirmed that none of the figures for the last 2 days of the base line surveys were used and were disregarded, therefore we agree with the councils position

*Councils Response [REP3-078]*

*The Councils agree that noise monitoring should be carried out during construction so that adequate control of noise and adherence to planning noise criteria can be ensured and verified, to the benefit of all parties*

We agree that noise monitoring should be carried out during construction and discussed with the applicant the potential for noise monitoring at our property should the development go ahead as proposed, so therefore we accept the councils current position.

*Councils Response [REP3-078]*

*Cumulative noise impact assessment was considered and commented upon in the Councils' LIR, to which the Applicant responded appropriately [REP2-085]. The Councils are therefore of the opinion that the potential cumulative impacts on the IPs' property have been properly assessed.*

On this point we Disagree.

REP2-085 appears to focus around cumulative noise impacts from traffic and we have only seen selective cumulative construction noise impacts presented as part of APP-072. Individual construction activities have been published for numbers of individual receptors but no cumulative impact assessments have been published for all close proximity receptors to the onshore substation site. Given the fact that there will be concurrent activity around the onshore substation site by the applicant itself along with additional concurrent activity in the same vicinity from National Grid, Awel y Mor etc. then we cannot agree that the potential cumulative impacts on the IP's property have been properly assessed

We include further comment in our Deadline 5 written responses and are happy to meet with representatives of the Council if assists.

### **Q2.16.2 Construction Noise and Vibration Clarification Note**

We still believe that the full impacts of construction noise have not been fully addressed to which we provide further comment in our Deadline 5 written responses.